THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS HOUSTON DIVISION

* * * * *

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA * NO. 4:23-CR-0413 * NO. 4:23-MJ-1602

VS. * Houston, Texas

* 1:57 p.m. - 2:48 p.m. ABIGAIL JO SHRY * August 14, 2023

* * * * *

PROBABLE CAUSE/DETENTION HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE SAM SHELDON UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

* * * *

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording Transcript produced by transcription service

| 1 | APPEARANCES: | |
|-------------------------------|---|--|
| 2 | For the United States: | |
| 3 | MR. RICHARD D. HANES U.S. Attorney's Office | |
| 45 | 1000 Louisiana Suite 2300 Houston, TX 77002 | |
| 6 | For the Defendant: | |
| 7 8 9 | MR. AMIR A. AMED Office of Federal Public Defender 440 Louisiana Suite 1350 Houston, TX 77002 | |
| 10 | Court Clerk: | |
| 11 | SHANNON JONES | |
| 12 | Electronic Recorder: | |
| 13 | B. ROMERO | |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |

| 1 | WITNESS INDEX | |
|----|--|----|
| 2 | Government's Evidence: | |
| 3 | Special Agent Joshua Henry | |
| 4 | Direct Examination by Mr. Hanes | 6 |
| 5 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Ahmed | 7 |
| 6 | Redirect Examination by Mr. Hanes | 14 |
| 7 | Defendant's Evidence: | |
| 8 | Mark Shry | |
| 9 | Direct Examination by Mr. Ahmed | 15 |
| 10 | Cross-Examination by Mr. Hanes | 19 |
| 11 | Redirect Examination by Mr. Ahmed | 23 |
| 12 | Proffer by Mr. Ahmed | 25 |
| 13 | Argument by counsel and Court's ruling | 26 |
| 14 | | |
| 15 | | |
| 16 | | |
| 17 | | |
| 18 | | |
| 19 | | |
| 20 | | |
| 21 | | |
| 22 | | |
| 23 | | |
| 24 | | |
| 25 | | |
| l | .I | |

1 PROCEEDINGS 2 1:57 P.M. - AUGUST 14, 2023 3 THE COURT: Ms. Shry, you are here with your 4 attorney, Amr Ahmed, and Richard Hanes is here on 5 behalf of the United States. We're here for Ms. Shry's Probable Cause 6 7 Hearing and also Detention Hearing. The Government has given me a two-page proffer. 8 9 Mr. Ahmed, any objection to admitting into 10 evidence as Government's Exhibit 1 the proffer? 11 MR. AHMED: No, Your Honor. 12 THE COURT: And so, Shannon, that proffer will not be under seal. The 2 will be under seal, but not 1. 13 14 And any objection, Mr. Ahmed, in admitting 15 the Pretrial Report as Government's 2? 16 MR. AHMED: I'm sorry, Judge, which document 17 is under seal? 18 THE COURT: The Pretrial Report will be under seal. 19 20 MR. AHMED: I just wanted to note that the 2.1 Complaint excluded the name of the Judge. 22 THE COURT: Not any more. 2.3 MR. AHMED: Okay.

Affidavit is -- so the original -- the Complaint is

THE COURT:

So the Complaint now is -- the

24

| 1 | unsealed. |
|----|--|
| 2 | MR. AHMED: I got it. |
| 3 | THE COURT: The Affidavit. |
| 4 | MR. AHMED: Okay. Yes, no objection. |
| 5 | THE COURT: Okay. So the proffer will be |
| 6 | Government's Exhibit 1. That won't be sealed. The |
| 7 | Pretrial Report is Government's Exhibit 2, and that |
| 8 | will be sealed. That won't be a part of the public |
| 9 | record. It's No. 2. |
| 10 | You got those, Shannon? |
| 11 | COURT CLERK: Yes. |
| 12 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 13 | And Mr. Hanes, do we have the agent here? |
| 14 | MR. HANES: We do, Judge. |
| 15 | THE COURT: Okay, let's put him on. |
| 16 | MR. HANES: Federal Protective Services |
| 17 | Special Agent Josh Henry. |
| 18 | THE COURT: Okay. Good afternoon, sir. |
| 19 | COURT CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the |
| 20 | testimony you will give before the Court today will be |
| 21 | the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, |
| 22 | so help you God? |
| 23 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 24 | THE COURT: Just pull the microphone in front |
| 25 | of you so we can hear. |

| 1 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
|----|---|
| 2 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Hanes. You |
| 3 | will just have him introduce himself and then just mark |
| 4 | it for the record and then turn it over for Cross. |
| 5 | MR. HANES: Very good. |
| 6 | SPECIAL AGENT JOSHUA HENRY, Called by the Government |
| 7 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 8 | BY MR. HANES: |
| 9 | Q. If you would, please, sir, would you state your |
| 10 | name and spell your last name for the court reporter. |
| 11 | A. All right, my name is Joshua Henry, last name |
| 12 | H-e-n-r-y. |
| 13 | Q. And where are you employed, sir? |
| 14 | A. Federal Protective Service Homeland Security. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. And have you had an opportunity to review |
| 16 | before right now this document that I'm holding |
| 17 | entitled Proffer? |
| 18 | A. Yes. |
| 19 | Q. And is it true and accurate to the best of your |
| 20 | knowledge and belief? |
| 21 | A. Yes. |
| 22 | THE COURT: Oh, let me just ask you, what's |
| 23 | your position? |
| 24 | THE WITNESS: I'm a Special Agent. |
| 25 | THE COURT: And how long have you been a |

| 1 | Special Agent? |
|----|--|
| 2 | THE WITNESS: 14 years. |
| 3 | THE COURT: Okay. Go ahead, Mr. Ahmed. |
| 4 | CROSS-EXAMINATION |
| 5 | BY MR. AHMED: |
| 6 | Q. Agent Henry, were you part of the arrest of |
| 7 | Ms. Shry? |
| 8 | A. Yes. |
| 9 | Q. Was she interviewed prior to her arrest? |
| 10 | A. Yes. |
| 11 | Q. Were you part of that interview? |
| 12 | A. Yes. |
| 13 | Q. Was it recorded? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Can you tell the Court about the day that you went |
| 16 | over to interview her? |
| 17 | A. Well, when we got there, she she was very kind |
| 18 | of she wouldn't open the door to talk to us. We had |
| 19 | to kind of convince her, "Hey, we just want to have a |
| 20 | conversation with you. We're not here to arrest you." |
| 21 | When she did come out, she began a rant, |
| 22 | explaining her hate for the Government and |
| 23 | Congresswoman, Democrats. After we let her talk and go |
| 24 | on about her rant, we asked a specific question about |
| 25 | her, did she want to cause harm to Sheila Jackson Lee. |

- 1 She said if Sheila Jackson Lee comes to Alvin, Texas,
- 2 | then we need to worry. If she does not come to Alvin,
- 3 | Texas, then we don't need to worry.
- 4 | Through the interview, she was very dismissive.
- 5 | She didn't seem to care much. An attitude most of the
- 6 | time. Yeah.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Was this a mobile home?
- 8 | A. Yeah.
- 9 $\|Q$. Was she alone?
- 10 A. No. Her boyfriend came towards the middle end of
- 11 | the interview.
- 12 $\|Q$. Any problems with him?
- 13 A. No.
- 14 $\|Q$. What time of day was it?
- 15 | A. Early afternoon, I would guess, yeah.
- 16 $\|Q$. Any signs of intoxication on her part?
- 17 ||A. Not at the time, no.
- 18 $\|Q$. Did she admit to making the phone call?
- 19 || A. Yes.
- 20 $\|Q$. The phone call was to a judge?
- 21 | A. Right.
- 22 | Q. How many phone calls were there?
- 23 $\|$ A. One phone call.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Was there a phone call to Congresswoman?
- 25 $\|$ A. No, but she mentioned the Congresswoman in the

- 1 | voicemail to the judge.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Okay. Did she apologize for making the phone call?
- $3 \parallel A$. In a sarcastic way.
- $4 \parallel Q$. What do you mean?
- 5 A. Kinda like, "I'm sorry." Kinda like, "I'm sorry,"
- 6 | kinda like that. Kinda like a -- almost like an
- 7 | attitude.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Did you ask her about any kind of travel plans?
- 9 \parallel A. She said she wouldn't travel. She does not want to
- 10 | go to D.C. She really doesn't want to leave Alvin for
- 11 | the most part.
- 12 $\|Q$. And when she was referring to the Congresswoman, is
- 13 | that Congresswoman Lee?
- 14 | A. Sheila Jackson Lee, yes.
- 15 | Q. And she's a Texas Congresswoman?
- 16 || A. Yes.
- 17 \parallel Q. And she was saying that if the Congresswoman
- 18 | comes -- was it to her house or to Alvin?
- 19 NA. Alvin.
- 20 $\|Q$. And was she arrested a few days later?
- 21 | A. Yes.
- 22 $\|Q$. And what happened when she was arrested?
- 23 | A. When we arrived, the boyfriend told us the door --
- 24 \parallel "Please don't break the door, the door is open, she's
- 25 |on the sofa probably sleeping."

- So we rushed in and just grabbed her right
 there. She didn't say much, but called us a bunch of
 idiots. Other than that, that was all. That was about
 it.
- $5 \parallel Q$. I'm sorry, I didn't understand the last thing.
- 6 | A. She just called us a bunch of idiots and that was
- 7 | about it. She didn't say much when we arrested her.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Did she have a cell phone with her?
- 9 || A. No, she left everything inside the house, inside
- 10 | the home.
- 11 $\|$ Q. Was her cell phone taken as part of this
- 12 ||investigation?
- 13 ||A. Not that I'm aware of.
- 14 $\|Q$. So the entire case is based on the one phone call
- 15 | at 7:51 on August 5th?
- 16 ||A. That phone call and her statement saying --
- 17 | Q. Admitting to the phone call?
- 18 ||A. -- that if Ms. Jackson came to Alvin, what she
- 19 I would do.
- 20 $\|Q$. What did she say would happen if Congresswoman
- 21 || Jackson came to Alvin?
- 22 A. She said that we need to worry if Ms. Jackson Lee
- 23 || came to Alvin, Texas.
- 24 $\|Q$. When you came to arrest her or when you interviewed
- 25 her, did you see any firearms in the house?

- 1 A. No, but I know her boyfriend has a firearm.
- 2 | Q. Which firearm is that?
- 3 | A. I believe it's a shotgun. I don't know which one
- 4 || it is, the make and model.
- $5 \parallel Q$. Just one?
- $6 \parallel A$. From what we were aware of, yes, just one.
- 7 | Q. You haven't seen it?
- 8 A. No, I haven't seen it.
- 9 $\|Q$. Okay. Is there any -- was there any investigation
- 10 | prior to her interview?
- 11 ||A. Yes, it's a preliminary investigation.
- 12 | Q. Any surveillance conducted on her house?
- 13 | A. No, that's before the interviews, both of them, the
- 14 || interviews and then the arrest.
- 15 $\|Q$. Aside from the one shotgun that her boyfriend owns,
- 16 ||is there any reason to believe she has access to other
- 17 ||firearms?
- 18 $\|A$. No, not that I'm aware.
- 19 $\|Q$. Any part of the investigation indicate that she had
- 20 | any plans to travel?
- 21 || A. No.
- 22 $\|Q$. You don't have the audio recording with you today,
- 23 || do you?
- 24 | A. It's on my laptop. I don't have a device or
- 25 \parallel anything to play it.

| 1 | |
|----|--|
| 1 | Q. You've heard it, of course? |
| 2 | A. Yes. |
| 3 | Q. Does she sound like she might be intoxicated while |
| 4 | she's making that phone call? |
| 5 | A. During the phone call, it kinda seems like she is. |
| 6 | I can't really be sure. I heard something that made me |
| 7 | think that she might be. It's kind of over-saturated |
| 8 | mouth, it's kinda slurring a little bit, but |
| 9 | THE COURT: How long was the voicemail, the |
| 10 | call? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: It was a few minutes, three or |
| 12 | four minutes, I believe. |
| 13 | THE COURT: So we're just getting the |
| 14 | snippets, but it's longer than what's in the proffer? |
| 15 | THE WITNESS: Yes. |
| 16 | THE COURT: One other question. And is that |
| 17 | phone number for the Federal Judge, is that on the |
| 18 | website? Is that publicly listed, or do you know? |
| 19 | THE WITNESS: I'm not sure. |
| 20 | THE COURT: Okay. |
| 21 | BY MR. AHMED: |
| 22 | Q. Was the phone call to her chambers? |
| 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | Q. It wasn't a private cell phone? |
| 25 | A. No. |

- 1 ||Q. Was there an interview of her boyfriend?
- $2 \parallel A$. Yes.
- $3 \parallel Q$. Anything relevant to the investigation from
- 4 | speaking to him?
- $5 \parallel A$. So the interviews were split up by myself -- or FPS
- 6 and the U.S. Marshals.
- $7 \parallel Q$. Uh-huh.
- $8 \parallel A$. While we were speaking to her, somebody else was
- 9 | talking to the boyfriend. But other than that, he told
- 10 | us she's -- from what I heard, he tries to talk to her,
- 11 | calm her down. He hasn't had any luck with that.
- 12 | He told us about a time she put sugar in his gas tank.
- 13 \parallel He had to bail her out after that. So he just pretty
- 14 | much said he can't control her and he's tried to talk
- 15 | to her, calm her down. It's kinda hard to do.
- 16 $\|Q$. Did you speak to her parents?
- 17 | A. No, other agents did.
- 18 \parallel Q. Are you familiar with those interviews?
- 19 $\|A$. For the most part, the father's. I don't know too
- 20 | much about the mom's. I was more listening in on the
- 21 || interview with the father.
- 22 | Q. Okay. And he's present in the courtroom here?
- 23 | A. Yes.
- $24 \parallel Q$. Okay. Anything relevant from that interview with
- 25 | her father?

| , | Special rigent vosnau frem y Reunect by Mr. Frances |
|----|--|
| 1 | A. I mean, pretty much says that she talks a lot. |
| 2 | She had a substance abuse about a year ago and she was |
| 3 | seeing somebody to get off of some drugs she was |
| 4 | taking. Other than that, not so much more relevant. |
| 5 | MR. AHMED: Pass the witness, Your Honor. |
| 6 | THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Hanes? |
| 7 | MR. HANES: Just brief. |
| 8 | THE COURT: Sure, go ahead. |
| 9 | REDIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 10 | BY MR. HANES: |
| 11 | Q. When the defendant made the call to the Judge's |
| 12 | chambers and the whole voicemail gig, does she say "we" |
| 13 | a lot? |
| 14 | A. Yes. |
| 15 | Q. Like "We're going to do this" and "We're going to |
| 16 | do that"? |
| 17 | A. Yes. |
| 18 | Q. She does not say "I" or "me;" right? |
| 19 | A. Correct. |
| 20 | MR. HANES: That's it. |
| 21 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. You can |
| 22 | step down now. |
| 23 | Mr. Ahmed, what would you like to proffer? |
| 24 | MR. AHMED: I would like to call her father as |
| 25 | a witness. |
| | I and the second |

| 1 | THE COURT: Okay, you want to call him as a |
|--|--|
| 2 | witness. Okay, go ahead. |
| 3 | MR. AHMED: If I could just have a moment as |
| 4 | he's stepping up to finish reading the Pretrial Report? |
| 5 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 6 | MR. AHMED: Thank you. But I'd call Mark Shry. |
| 7 | COURT CLERK: Do you solemnly swear that the |
| 8 | testimony you will give before the Court today will be |
| 9 | the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, |
| 10 | so help you God? |
| 11 | THE WITNESS: I do. |
| 12 | [Pause] |
| | |
| 13 | MARK SHRY, Called by the Defense |
| 13 14 | MARK SHRY, Called by the Defense DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| | |
| 14 | DIRECT EXAMINATION |
| 14 15 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: |
| 14 15 16 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your |
| 14 15 16 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. |
| 14 15 16 17 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. A. Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. A. Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. THE COURT: Sir, could you just move closer to |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. A. Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. THE COURT: Sir, could you just move closer to the microphone. There you go. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. A. Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. THE COURT: Sir, could you just move closer to the microphone. There you go. THE WITNESS: Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. |
| 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. AHMED: Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Shry. Can you please say your name and spell it. A. Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. THE COURT: Sir, could you just move closer to the microphone. There you go. THE WITNESS: Mark Shry, S-h-r-y. BY MR. AHMED: |

- $1 \parallel Q$. And you informed the Pretrial Officer that you live
- 2 | at (Address redacted)?
- 3 | A. Correct.
- $4 \parallel Q$. How long -- is that a house that you own?
- 5 | A. Yes.
- 6 | Q. How long have you owned that house?
- 7 ||A. I've been there since 1978.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Is that the home?
- $9 \parallel A$. What?
- 10 $\|Q$. Is that the home that your daughter Abigail grew up
- 11 ||in?
- 12 A. That address, I've had a trailer house there, I've
- 13 | had another house, and then this one I built in 1994.
- 14 \parallel Q. Okay. How many bedrooms is the house that you live
- 15 || in now?
- 16 | A. Three.
- 17 | O. And who lives there?
- 18 ||A. My grandson, my granddaughter, my wife and I.
- 19 $\|Q$. Okay. And your grandchildren, are those Abigail's
- 20 ||children?
- 21 || A. Correct.
- 22 $\|Q$. Is that a 17-year-old son who's starting his senior
- 23 | year in high school?
- 24 | A. High school, yes, 12th grade.
- 25 $\|Q$. And her 19-year-old daughter, who's in college?

- 1 | A. In college, second year.
- $2 \parallel Q$. If the Court orders, would you allow your daughter
- 3 | to live with you?
- 4 | A. Yes.
- $5 \parallel Q$. And you have some firearms in the home?
- 6 A. I have an antique M1 that I can remove.
- 7 \mathbb{Q} . Okay. Do you work?
- 8 | A. All the time, but I'm retired.
- 9 $\|$ Q. What did you used to do?
- 10 | A. Oh, chemical industry. I was an operator during
- 11 the eighties, R&B for AkzoNobel through the nineties
- 12 and up until 2002. And then I started my trucking
- 13 | company and I ended it on the 17th -- 2017. 2017.
- 14 \parallel Q. '17, okay. And do you live at the home in Alvin
- 15 | with your wife?
- 16 | A. Yes.
- 17 | Q. That's Abigail's mother?
- 18 | A. Yes.
- 19 \mathbb{Q} . And is she retired as well?
- 20 | A. Yes.
- 21 | Q. And you and I talked about the duties of a
- 22 | third-party custodian.
- 23 | A. Correct.
- 24 $\|Q$. Are you willing to serve in that capacity?
- 25 || A. I will.

- Q. And you'd report any violations to her Pretrial Officer if she's released?
- $3 \parallel A$. I will.
- Q. Can you tell the Judge just a little bit about your daughter? Where did she grow up?
- 6 A. She grew up in Alvin, Texas, high school, 13th in
- 7 her class, graduating class. It was either an accident
- 8 or an illness to where the doctor got her on
- 9 prescription drugs, opioids. And then after that, it
- 10 was just the pill doctor epidemic in Houston and
- 11 around. You know what happens. You know, you've got
- 12 20 years of this stuff, you know. And hopefully
- 13 | they're starting to get it under control. I don't know
- 14 || if they will or not.
- 15 $\|Q$. And so that started in about 2002 for Abigail?
- 16 A. Probably.
- 17 \mathbb{Q} . About 20 years ago?
- 18 A. Yeah. I can't remember way back then.
- 19 Q. Okay. Tell the Judge a little bit more recently
- 20 about her substance abuse, attempts to get her
- 21 substance abuse under control. Is she going to
- 22 | treatment?
- 23 A. She was going to treatment. I believe she was
- 24 going to see the doctor. She was on methadone, as you
- 25 | reminded me, methadone. She was getting off the

- 1 opioids. And then the doctor put her on a medication
- 2 called Suboxone. And anyhow, it worked. She quit.
- 3 | She doesn't want to do it anymore, but she does drink
- 4 | beer. So I just have to say that that's the only
- 5 problem she has now.
- 6 \parallel Q. Do you have -- do you drink or does your wife drink
- 7 | at home?
- $8 \parallel A$. We don't. I've been sober, this is my 24th year.
- 9 | January 20, 1999.
- 10 $\|Q$. Please answer any questions from the Government.
- 11 || A. What?
- 12 | THE COURT: Go ahead, Mr. Hanes.

13 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- $14 \parallel BY MR. HANES:$
- 15 | Q. Sir, my name is Rick Hanes. I'm with the
- 16 | Prosecutor's Office. You've got one ear that doesn't
- 17 | work that well, don't you?
- 18 | A. Right.
- 19 \parallel Q. No worries. My dad had the same thing. I'll just
- 20 | use my talking to my dad voice.
- 21 A. You know, the chemical plants will do a number on
- 22 || you.
- 23 ||Q. I imagine so.
- 24 || Let me ask you, were you aware that your
- 25 | daughter was arrested and found guilty of criminal

- 1 | mischief in September of 2022? Did you know that?
- 2 | A. I don't know --
- $3 \parallel Q$. Can you tell me whether or not you know what
- 4 | happened, the facts?
- 5 | A. No.
- $6 \parallel Q$. Okay.
- $7 \parallel A$. I know she had trouble.
- $8 \parallel Q$. Okay. And that actually -- also, there was an
- 9 assault and a resisting arrest from August of 2022, in
- 10 | which she was sentenced to 30 days. Do you know any of
- 11 | the facts behind that?
- 12 $\|A$. No, I think it's just the boyfriend stuff. That's
- 13 | all I remember.
- 14 \parallel Q. Okay. Now, did you know that this July she was
- 15 | arrested for and has been charged with terroristic
- 16 | threat, caused the fear of imminent serious bodily
- 17 || injury? Did you know about that?
- 18 $\|$ A. Yeah, well, that's why she's here. But anyhow --
- 19 $\|Q$. No, no, no, she's here for something else. But
- 20 | that's in Tarrant County in Austin, Texas --
- 21 $\|$ A. Oh, yeah.
- 22 $\|Q$. -- that that case is pending from. Do you know the
- 23 | facts of that?
- 24 | A. Yeah, she's shooting her mouth off. That's what it
- 25 \parallel is. Whether -- I don't know who or what she mouthed

- 1 off to, but yeah, I know that.
- $2 \parallel Q$. Now, does she involve herself in politics or does
- 3 | she gather around people who like to talk a lot about
- 4 politics while they drink beer?
- $5 \parallel A$. She gets all of her information from the Internet.
- 6 | That's a fact. She doesn't talk to anybody else, I
- 7 | don't -- I just can't even imagine it, you know. All
- 8 of her stuff is from the Internet. You know, you can
- 9 ||get --
- 10 $\|Q$. She was in Austin -- I'm sorry, go ahead, I
- 11 ||didn't --
- 12 $\|$ A. You can get anything you want to off the Internet.
- 13 ||Q. You definitely can.
- 14 | A. And, you know, it will work you up. So that's
- 15 ||exactly what it's doing to her.
- 16 \parallel Q. Now, do you know whether or not in July of this
- 17 | year, did she get worked up here? By "here" I mean at
- 18 | her home in Alvin, and then travel to Tarrant County to
- 19 || Austin?
- 20 | A. No.
- 21 $\|Q$. Or did she get worked up in Austin and then commit
- 22 | the offense of terroristic threat?
- 23 $\|A$. She hadn't gone anywhere. She's never gone to
- 24 | Austin, not to my knowledge.
- 25 $\|Q$. So how did she end up getting a terroristic threat

- 1 | charge against her in Tarrant County?
- $2 \parallel A$. I have no idea. They arrested her in Alvin, I
- 3 | think. I don't understand all of that stuff, but yeah,
- 4 | she shot her mouth off to somebody in Austin, and then
- 5 | they came and arrested her at our home, I guess.
- 6 | Q. I'm not actually from Texas, I'm from Ohio, but my
- 7 | impression of Texas is that a lot of people have guns;
- 8 || is that right?
- $9 \parallel A$. Yeah, they do.
- 10 $\|Q$. Yeah, they do.
- 11 | A. I think everybody.
- 12 $\|Q$. I think that just about anybody could get a gun if
- 13 | they needed one; is that correct?
- 14 | A. I'm sure they could.
- 15 | Q. Now, you're aware of the fact that your daughter
- 16 has been in the psychological hospital in the past for
- 17 | treatment; is that correct?
- 18 | A. Correct.
- 19 | Q. And that was inpatient?
- 20 | A. Sir, I don't know.
- 21 | Q. Okay. How often do you see your daughter before
- 22 | all of this?
- 23 $\|A$. My wife talks to her all the time and I am home.
- 24 | I don't have a phone.
- 25 $\|Q$. God bless you.

- Mark Shry Redirect by Mr. Ahmed 23 Yeah, I'm thinking I'm not going to get one at all 1 2 But anyhow, no, she comes over every now and 3 then. We just butchered a calf and we get meat. gets meat for her family and ours. And anyway, there's 4 5 a good relationship, as far as I'm concerned. She 6 comes over, I give her a hug, she gives me a kiss, you 7 know, stuff like that. I'm her dad. 8 You're a good dad, too. I appreciate you coming in here. 10 Well, I finally worked into it. 11 That's what we all do. 12 Yeah. 13 I've got four children of my own, so I understand. 14 Yeah, you've got a handful. Pass the witness, Judge. MR. HANES:
- 15
- 16 THE COURT: Okay. Anything else, Mr. Ahmed?
- 17 Just a few things to follow up MR. AHMED:
- 18 with.
- 19 THE COURT: Sure, go ahead.

20 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2.1 BY MR. AHMED:

- 22 Were you saying that the victim, the alleged victim
- 2.3 in the terroristic threat case, resides in Austin
- County? Is that what you think? 24
- 25 I don't know.

- 1 Q. But you don't have any information to believe that
- 2 | your daughter drove to Austin or went to Austin and
- 3 | committed a crime?
- $4 \parallel A$. She did not drive to Austin, no.
- $5 \parallel Q$. Just to clarify, when you say you don't have a
- 6 | phone, you have and do use a cellular phone?
- 7 || A. I did have a phone at one time. But yes, I've got
- 8 | my wife's right now.
- $9 \parallel Q$. And you called me earlier today to help get to
- 10 ||court?
- 11 ||A. She said, "Here's my phone, take it," you know.
- 12 "You need it."
- 13 $\|Q$. You have a problem with your line right now, with
- 14 | your cell phone?
- 15 ||A. Well, you know, a lot of hacking going on and a lot
- 16 of viruses going around and I get on sites, you know,
- 17 | trying to fix my cars and trucks and things. And once
- 18 || I get there, it says you've been hacked, a little bomb
- 19 | blows up or whatever on the screen. And so I just did
- 20 | away with it.
- 21 0. Just one final --
- $22 \parallel A$. The same thing has happened to my computer at the
- 23 | house, and so I just, I don't know, just felt pretty
- 24 || good lately not having a phone.
- 25 $\|Q$. I understand. One final thing to follow up with.

| 1 | You explained about how she as on Methadone and then |
|----|--|
| 2 | Suboxone. That was on that was this year; is that |
| 3 | correct? |
| 4 | A. Yes. |
| 5 | Q. And when did she stop? |
| 6 | A. I would have to ask her. Seems like it was like |
| 7 | two months ago, three months ago, something like that. |
| 8 | I don't know. |
| 9 | Q. And since then, alcohol has been the only issue? |
| 10 | A. That's it. Her and boyfriend will drink in the |
| 11 | afternoon. That's about it. |
| 12 | Q. Okay, thank you. |
| 13 | MR. AHMED: I pass the witness, Your Honor. |
| 14 | THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, sir. You can |
| 15 | step down. |
| 16 | Okay. Mr. Ahmed, anything else? |
| 17 | MR. AHMED: Just a brief proffer that I spoke |
| 18 | to her |
| 19 | THE COURT: Sure. |
| 20 | MR. AHMED: boyfriend on the phone, and |
| 21 | that he confirmed that she could also live with her, |
| 22 | that that's no according to his understanding, |
| 23 | there's no court or protective order or any kind of |
| 24 | bond prohibition that would prevent that from |
| 25 | happening. They were residing together at the time of |

her arrest.

2.1

2.3

I asked him about the firearm. As the agent testified, it's a shotgun that belonged to his father. So it's like an heirloom that's in the house and he also agreed that could be removed if the Court was to allow her to return to live with him.

I think that's my only proffer.

THE COURT: Okay, go ahead with -- well, who wants to go first on the argument? Well, why don't you go first since it's your burden, Mr. Hanes.

MR. HANES: Yes, sir. Judge, I don't want to be a footnote in a history book one day, and I think that's what might just happen here. We've got a defendant who has a substance abuse problem who is angry. And whether or not there's an admission there, all of her threats to the Judge, to Shelia Jackson Lee, they were couched in terms of plural: We. Not me, not I. We.

This defendant obviously feels that she is part of something. She is calling up officials, calling up Federal Judges, calling up Congresswomen, telling them that she's going to kill them, telling them that she's going to kill all of the LGBT and that she's going to kill all of the LGBT and that she's going to kill all the Democrats as well. It sounds a little bit bizarre, but then she goes back to

the whole point of focusing in on Sheila Jackson Lee.

2.1

2.3

Judge, in this particular case, this particular defendant, these conditions recommended, I think, are inadequate. And I have to tell you that her dad, he may be trying, and he made me feel old when he said that the M1 was an antique.

But he doesn't know her. You're going to ask -- or you're going -- they're saying go ahead and make him a third party custodian. He doesn't know her. He doesn't know if she went to Austin. Somehow she ends up with charges out of Austin for making terroristic threats, and that's less than a month ago that she made those threats and she got arrested for that. And now here she is, she didn't learn anything from that, she's right back out there.

And if all she does -- if you put her on bond, if all she does is get drunk and call people up and threaten them and swear at them, we'll be lucky.

We'll be getting off easy. This defendant has shown absolutely no -- no predilection for following any kind of court rule. Because you know in Tarrant County, when they said, "Okay, fine, we're going to put you on bond, don't commit any other offenses," a month later -- less than a month later she's back dialing up random politicians and judges and threatening their lives.

That's going to happen again. She's going to get drunk, she's going to do it again.

And I'm just telling you, the only way to prevent that occurring is to place her in custody pending the trial in this case, to deny her bond. And that's what I'm asking you to do.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Mr. Ahmed.

2.1

2.2

2.3

MR. AHMED: Your Honor, Ms. Shry has no prior arrests for felonies. All of her priors are misdemeanors. She has no prior bond violations. She has very strong ties to the community. She has a very safe place where she can reside with her father, her mother, and her two adult children.

I represented a client who was charged with -- in connection with the January 6th offense, much more -- many of the people who were charged in those types of crimes had much more active involvement, including travel and, you know, statements that are much more threatening, and many of them got bond. I actually had my case in front of Judge Chutkan and had to go to D.C. and represent a client there in front of her.

Here we have one phone call to a Judge while she's intoxicated. Not repeat phone calls. One

phone call. The Marshals in the Federal Protective Service interviewed her several days later. There aren't repeat phone calls after that. The Government has no evidence that she traveled to Austin County for the prior threat case that's just pending. It's very reasonable that if the victim is in a county, that the person can be charged in the county where the victim resides. That could create venue for jurisdiction, so the victim does not have to travel to where the person making the threat resides.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

So any argument that she is mobile or can travel to make a threat is not established. There's no evidence that she has any kind of repeat access or usage of firearms, that she goes to a range or that she has a lot of ammunition or that she made any threat Threats here that are listed in involving firearms. the Complaint are very generic, including to kill all Democrats. It's drunk talk, Judge. And there's conditions that the Court can impose. In fact, incarcerating her doesn't alleviate the threat. As the Court may know, sometimes people write threatening letters to judges while they're incarcerated.

So, if she has substance abuse issues, mental health issues, from stopping Suboxone or Methadone for two or three months ago, that would

explain kind of where this spiral is. And the best thing that can happen for the safety of the community and her ultimate rehabilitation is to impose strict conditions of substance abuse and maybe even mental health treatment, whether it's inpatient or outpatient. But to incarcerate her over this first felony offense, I think, would be excessive.

THE COURT: So let me say this. Mr. Shry, thank you for coming. Can you hear me?

MR. SHRY: Yes.

2.1

2.3

know, everybody in this courtroom that's a dad, it's extremely hard and I know it's not easy for you to travel down here and deal with these issues. And as someone that's dealt with mental health issues -- and not me personally, but with children and all these issues -- there's nothing that breaks your heart more. And so, you know, I've walked in your shoes and I feel your pain, sir.

The hardest thing for me all week, and I wondered, Ms. Shry, because we didn't have the Pretrial Report of what I would be looking at. And as Mr. Ahmed said, I was hoping that I was going to be dealing with a case of somebody that just made this one phone call and it was an isolated situation. But the thing that

we're all wondering is not whether she's going to write a threatening letter or not whether she's going to make another call, but is she going to act upon it. I mean, that's really -- you know, is it reasonable to believe that she poses a danger and is she going to act upon these things.

2.1

And so the thing that I've struggled with since I read this Pretrial Report is it's not just -it's not just a one call and it's not just random. In the call she's threatening the judges and she's threatening a specific person. The random people is a certain community because that's not identifying people within that community. But when the agent does come to her, you know, she backs off the statement about the Judge, but she doesn't back off the statement about a specific individual in this community.

But we're talking about somebody that, as her dad pointed out, that, unfortunately through, you know, bad doctors, got prescribed opioids and has had a 20-year battle with prescription drugs and also mental health issues. So mental health issues that have gotten to the extreme where she was hospitalized. So we have somebody that has, you know, drug addiction, mental health issue, hospitalization for those.

And then the past year thing have not

gotten better. I mean, this would be her fourth different entanglement with law enforcement in the past year, and it just seems to me that it gets elevated each time that we're dealing with. And she's in a situation, I know we've said it, but with her boyfriend that's not a positive situation. He's currently charged with assaulting her. So, I mean, we have -in August 2022 we have an assault and resisting arrest charge. Then a month later we have the criminal mischief. And then just recently, less than a month ago, we have the terroristic threat in Austin. then this is the fourth offense. And so that comes back to what do we -what do we do? You know, I mean, do we not listen to what she's trying to tell us? I know, Mr. Ahmed, that there's -- words do have meaning. I mean, she doesn't have a violent past, but these things are elevated and we're taking that combined with somebody that's mixing alcohol. I know her dad said that she stopped with -- she stopped with -- I forget the name of it, it's slipping my mind, that makes you not want to take other drugs, the

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

Suboxone.

But also, when they asked her, she wasn't interested in receiving substance abuse or mental

health services. And so that's another troubling fact, that you have a person that's doing these things that's addicted, we know to alcohol, that's drinking in the middle of the day and that, you know, as the agent said, was probably drunk. The question is what about with drugs. And then we're talking about someone that keeps making these, that is it irrational to think that she may not -- that she may act on these things, especially, I mean, and these weren't just, you know, kind of soft or had some -- these are pretty off the wall things that she said.

2.3

And so it is hard for me, and I like to follow what Pretrial does. And we're only talking about an offense that carries the right maximum of up to five years. And so I don't think I've ever had someone detained -- I mean, she's not a flight risk and she only faces up to -- she probably won't even score out of it. I mean, you know, she's probably going to score out of probation. I don't know. I haven't done it, but probably that.

So we're talking about detaining someone, but it's also, besides the safety of the community, it's probably her own safety, too. I'm just caring for her, too, that we're just talking about someone that's not moving in the right direction. And anybody that

just looks at this and then not in a non-passionate or dispassionate way would say, hey, her actions keep going further, further, and further.

2.1

2.3

And it's not -- look, there's 300 million people. There's only been a few people that actually pick up the phone and call a Judge that's in the public eye right now and threaten those things. And then when the agents come, you know, don't really back off of those things and talk about, you know, a Congresswoman that's very visible in the community, that I just don't -- you know, it's one of those I'll hear from -- it's not an easy decision for me, but I just -- I mean, I'm leaning towards detaining her because I just think that's safer for the community and for her own safety. But I'll let you address some of the things I talked about.

MR. AHMED: Just one fact that I can see would be determinative for the Court is if she's unwilling to engage in treatment. I honestly didn't focus or catch that in the Pretrial Report, but I did discuss that with her today. I think she was interviewed on Friday and I don't know what mental state she was in when this interview occurred. But when I spoke with her this morning, I spoke to her at length about treatment and whether it could be inpatient or outpatient, how the

Court could make it a condition that she has to take medication that's prescribed, and whether she would be willing to do that. And she was willing to engage in treatment, take all medication as of my conversation with her this morning. As far as argument, Your Honor, I also, you know, understand the concern of the recent arrests and the timing of them. I think one thing she was whispering to me is that it sounds like the August and September incidents may have been combined. have been one related incident. THE COURT: Let me ask you this. I think they may have been combined as far as the adjudication, but they look like separate instances and separate case numbers. So the Brazoria has Case No. 255065 and then Case No. 255069. So I think it was probably one final hearing that she was sentenced on both. But it looks to me -- do you all know, Mr. Hanes? Is it two separate incidents? MR. HANES: It is two separate incidents with two separate case numbers. MR. AHMED: I think it may have been an arrest for the underlying.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

2.3

24

25

That this occurred during the --

Okay.

THE COURT:

MR. AHMED:

but the issue, Judge, with her mental health and with her substance abuse is that her incarceration pending trial, however long this takes, is not going -- her situation is not going to improve. When someone has substance abuse problems, jail doesn't do much for them. It does keep them clean chemically, but it doesn't give them the tools to overcome that addiction. And if it's a mental health problem, it could get worse while a person is incarcerated.

2.3

There are very strict conditions that the Court can impose, including home detention. That would ensure that she doesn't leave, including a GPS monitor. Include a condition of no alcohol, and that seems like it's very reasonable that it's going to be complied with. If she's living in a home with her father, who's been sober for 24 years, and her mother, they don't drink in the house. And she's on an ankle monitor living with her parents and her two adult children in Alvin. She's away from the individuals that were threatened allegedly.

And with regard to her statement upon her arrest, I mean, it sounds like someone just doesn't know how to stop talking. It's not someone who's saying, "I'm going to go commit this specific attack." I didn't hear the interview with the agents, but I would agree

it sounds like she's not backing off, and that saying, you know, if she comes to Alvin, if Congresswoman comes to Alvin, then there could be a problem. But she didn't repeat and say that she would be killed or anything more specific along those lines.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

2.3

24

But there certainly are conditions that can ensure the safety of the community, and I think it would be --

THE COURT: So I'll make a response before I let Mr. Hanes. So the one thing is I don't think she's going to stop drinking on her own. If I released her, I don't think -- that's just not going to happen right now, that she's going to just suddenly go absent of alcohol. I think that -- you know, I'll hear from Mr. Hanes and I agree with what you're saying, but I think to me the better course is that she remain detained for a month, we come back in a month, and then we discuss what the potential place that she could go to, a facility that she would get both mental health and substance abuse treatment.

I know she's been through it, but that's what I think. As I sit here today, the most rational thing is, in that way when we know that she gets there, that she's at least had a month of sobriety before -- because those places aren't locked facilities. And so

I think at least we know that when we're putting her there, that there's nothing in her system, and the chances of her doing -- because there's no doubt that these things are probably all being fueled by alcohol and other things. So that's my fear is that when she's not thinking clearly, that she's going to get in one of these ranges because the things just keep escalating, and she's going to do something, something that we'd all regret.

2.1

2.2

2.3

But let me hear from Mr. Hanes.

MR. HANES: Judge, my greatest concern in this case is that she starts watching FOX News again, gets herself spun up, she goes out, she gets a case of beer, continues to get herself spun up. There's no way to gauge what's going to happen here, except to look at what she's done in the past six months. I mean, not even a year, the past six months.

You are right, it is escalating. We are now here for a felony. I know it's a five-year cap on this felony. And I've never asked for detention for someone who had a five-year cap. In fact, I can't think of another case or another charge that has a five-year cap. I don't see that.

But in this particular instance, again, I just go back and don't want to be that FBI Agent that

didn't go check on Lee Harvey Oswald two days before

John Kennedy was assassinated. That happened. And

that's the footnote in history. And I'm standing here

before you today because this defendant is unmoored and

I believe that there's going to be more.

2.1

2.3

And as I said before, if all we get is threats on a telephone call, we'll be doing okay. But she can talk to other people, she can build other people up. If we're going to speculate that she will all of the sudden -- you know, she'll come out a month from now and she'll be clean and sober and she'll be ready to stop any sort of intoxicant, ingesting any intoxicants at all, that's great.

But let's look at what her prior history tells us she's going to do, because it sure as heck doesn't tell us that she's going to come out clean and sober with a great attitude. It tells us that she's going to come out, she's going to get drunk, she's going to get angry, she's going to start this whole cycle over again. And just like the Judge in Austin who doesn't even know we're here right now, but you're going to be like that guy. Because there's a third charge out there and a fourth and a fifth.

There has been no indication whatsoever that this defendant is going to stop. And you know

that that first Judge in Austin had this same conversation with her and released her to go home, to behave herself. She did not do that, Judge. And I'm just asking you to protect society from this woman until she gets it under control. And I don't believe that a month is going to make any difference, but that's your job.

2.1

2.2

2.3

THE COURT: So this is my thought is that regardless of this whole case, she's going to be released and she's going to be released in the near future regardless of what happens in this -- regardless of what I do today and regardless of this case. But the real underlying issue, whatever we do in this case is she's got mental health issues and she's got substance abuse issues. And unless those are addressed, things are going to happen in the future in her life and we're going to be back here.

And so to me, my thought is that she remain detained for a month. I might release her in a month. I'd like to come back and see what her attitude is towards an inpatient drug treatment place. And then I'm not saying we can do it all here, what you have to say about it, Mr. Hanes. And then she goes to a facility for 60 days and gets mental health and substance abuse treatment. And then when she finishes

that, assuming that the underlying felony hasn't been resolved, then we discuss whether she goes back into custody or she's released on a bond.

2.1

2.3

But at that point, at least we have three months -- what I assume hopefully three months of sobriety and at least another stint in a facility.

But I think to me they are baby steps and it's, as

Mr. Hanes said I'm cognizant it's protecting society and it's also trying to help her at the same time.

So that's my order is that she'll be remanded. We'll set a date for 30 days to come back here. I'll issue an order. In 30 days before that hearing, I'll have Pretrial look into -- none of them are lockdown facilities, but the best local facility that's the most secure. Normally at those facilities they don't like you having an ankle monitory, but if I'm going to go that route, she's going to have an ankle monitor be on, and then we can discuss her being at one of those facilities for 60 days. And at least I think it's the most, you know, humane thing of trying to protect society while at the same time trying to help her.

Mr. Ahmed, any questions from you?

MR. AHMED: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Hanes?

MR. HANES: No, Judge. THE COURT: Okay. Good luck, sir. Thank you for coming again. [2:45 p.m. - Proceedings adjourned] CERTIFICATION I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript of the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Gwen Reed 4-30-24